Pages 1 - 57

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Vince Chhabria, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. CR 18-00390 VC

GREGORY JAMES CHRISMAN,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Thursday, November 14, 2019

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

BY: AJAY K. KRISHNAMURTHY

DAVID WARD

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

For Defendant:

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 555 S. Flower Street - Suite 3500

Los Angeles, California 90071

BY: PAMELA L. JOHNSTON ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported By: Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR

Ana M. Dub, CSR No. 7445, RDR, CRR, CCRR

Official Reporters

Thursday - November 14, 2019 1 10:03 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: Calling Case Number 18-cr-00390, U.S.A. 4 5 vs. Gregory James Chrisman. 6 Counsel, please step forward and state your appearances for the record. 7 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Good morning. Ajay Krishnamurthy 8 for the United States. 9 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MS. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Pamela Johnston for Mr. Chrisman. Mr. Chrisman is present in court. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. 13 Good morning, Mr. Chrisman. 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So one thing. 17 Kristen, can you print for me or e-mail to me the 18 Superseding Indictment? I don't have it in the folder, or I 19 can't find it in the folder. So the first question that I have, I mean, I understand we 20 have an application for permission to plead guilty to Counts 1 21 and 2, and I wanted to ask how this -- if you-all have views 22 about how this affects the upcoming trial on the remaining 23 counts. Are we still planning on going forward with trial in 24

25

December?

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Your Honor, I've relayed this to Ms. Johnston as well, but I think my request for now is to keep the trial date. I think after this plea goes through, I'll need to go back and confer with my office on how to proceed with the outstanding counts.

THE COURT: Okay. Any thoughts from the Defense?

MS. JOHNSTON: There was also some discussion with the Government that they might go back and supersede again. I don't believe it was to add anything substantive. I think it was just to make the counts a little sharper.

I guess -- I was thinking it was going to happen today, but what the Government counsel told me this morning it's not going to happen today so -- and maybe it won't happen at all. I think this is tied up with the issue of, you know, what are they going to do about actually going to trial on the other counts.

So I think we need to have some date of when we know, you know, for sure whether we -- if we're going to trial, what's it going to look like, because we have a lot of papers due to the Court today. We have our jury instructions, et cetera, et cetera. We can -- I think we'd be prepared to file them. We just may have to adjust. So it's going to make the pretrial conference I think a little ugly is the only thing I'm predicting.

THE COURT: Well, so in terms of what you're prepared

to file today, are these motions in limine and jury instructions and whatnot based on -- or maybe the motions in limine have already been filed?

MS. JOHNSTON: They've been filed.

THE COURT: And the motions in limine I think, I'm guessing, would have been different if he had already pled guilty to these two counts?

MS. JOHNSTON: I think our motion in limine, maybe the Government can address it, will be -- I think they won't now fight us because it had to do with bank records, and I can't imagine why the bank records would be relevant to the obstruction counts. It had some relevance. It certainly had some relevance to the false statements because there were payments from the VA that were in the bank records. So that one might get mooted.

The other ones, I think it's just a little bit of tweaking from what the oppositions we have due today so I think we're fine in terms of being able to write them.

The places where I saw some changes that could occur depending on the wording would be the substantive charges in the jury instructions. So we could have a little rewording would be my sense. Obviously description of the case, but that's a page so that's not that hard to do.

THE COURT: Would it be helpful to give you -- so what's being filed today? Oppositions to motions in limine and

```
jury instructions, joint proposed?
 1
              MS. JOHNSTON: Right, and all the other pretrial
 2
              You know, the voir dire and all that.
 3
              THE COURT: Right. So would it be helpful to give
 4
 5
     you, you know, a one-day or a two-day extension on those
 6
     filings to --
              MS. JOHNSTON: It would.
 7
                                        I think so.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: I think that would be helpful.
 8
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah, I do.
 9
              THE COURT: I think that would probably be helpful for
10
11
     all of us to have a better understanding of what needs to be
     decided and what's been mooted or how something's been changed
12
13
     or whatever.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah. And I don't think it's going to
14
15
     shorten the time for the Court. I think it will still be far
16
     enough ahead that it will be fine.
17
              THE COURT: Yeah.
                                 I think it will be better. And
     just make clear in whatever you file -- what do you want? A
18
19
     day? Two days?
20
              MS. JOHNSTON: I think Monday is fine, Your Honor.
21
     That would be great.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. So why don't you file them by
23
    Monday noon.
24
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Okay.
25
              THE COURT: But if you could use that time to really
```

make an effort to, you know, adjust the jury instructions, adjust the -- you know, adjust the jury instructions, state clearly in the oppositions and in some other filing, if you want to make some other filings, you know, explaining what's changed and what you're opposing, what you're not opposing, just make the effort to make all of that clear so we don't have to sift through it.

MS. JOHNSTON: Fair enough.

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that's good. And then as far as a date, I mean, you know, it sounds like from what you described about the possible Superseding Indictment, it may be designed to address some of the concerns I had about the vagueness of the allegations in the Superseding Indictment.

MS. JOHNSTON: That's what I'm hoping, but I haven't been told so I'm not -- I'm not certain.

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: That's correct. I can represent to the Court that we acknowledge your concerns and the concerns that Ms. Johnston raised. If we do seek a Superseding Indictment, it will be based on the same conduct that we've already described. It will be based on the same discovery, but it would narrow the issues for trial and address some of those concerns about the specificity of the charges.

THE COURT: Okay. So it does seem to me -- I mean, we have a trial date of -- what is it? December 5th or something?

```
MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
 1
                                  2nd.
              MS. JOHNSTON:
 2
                             2nd.
              THE COURT:
                         December 2nd? So it does seem to me that
 3
     we really do need to set a deadline for that to happen.
 4
 5
     mean, there is -- I know that the Government has a lot of
     leeway in terms of superseding, but there are limits to that
 6
 7
     leeway and we're now, you know, two weeks from trial.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor. What I would --
 8
              MS. JOHNSTON: May I have a moment with Government
 9
     counsel?
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
12
              MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you.
13
                          (Counsel conferring.)
14
              MS. JOHNSTON: We would like to monkey with your
15
     schedule a little, if you don't mind.
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. JOHNSTON: The Government's days for superseding
17
     are Tuesdays and Thursdays and, frankly, it's in the Defense's
18
     interest to give them a few more days in case what they decide
19
20
     to do is --
21
              THE COURT: Drop the charges.
              MS. JOHNSTON: -- drop the charges. Exactly.
22
23
     we're not interested in pushing them as fast as we can.
     other hand, we want to stay on track for trial.
24
25
          So if the Court could move our pretrial conference just by
```

a day, if we could move from the 21st to the 22nd, we would 1 know by the 21st, hopefully as early in the day as possible, 2 how the charges are slightly different. Again, I'm not 3 expecting a lot of wording changes but maybe a few. 4 5 I've let the Government know that we've got a couple venue issues that they can address by fixing it in the pleading. 6 I think we'd be set if we did it on the 22nd, if that's not too 7 close for the judge. 8 I mean, I was actually wondering if THE COURT: No. 9 it might make more sense to do it even a little bit later. 10 11 MS. JOHNSTON: We could. The week after is the Thanksqiving holiday. 12 THE COURT: It's Thanksqiving week, and I was trying 13 to largely keep that week clear, but I think it might --14 15 Kristen, what else do we have? Do we have anything else on calendar that week? 16 THE CLERK: There's just a couple -- there's one 17 CMC -- two CMCs and one criminal matter on Tuesday-Wednesday, 18 but Monday would be open. 19 20 MS. JOHNSTON: That's fine with us, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't we do it on -- if we have a 21 criminal matter on Tuesday already, why don't we put the 22 23 pretrial conference on -- just put it on the criminal calendar on Tuesday morning the 26th, and then we can -- that way we 24

leave Monday open and we can clear out Wednesday also. We have

25

```
1
     to come to work on Tuesday.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, would it be possible,
 2
    because I like to fly up if I can, the morning of?
 3
              THE COURT: Do it in the afternoon?
 4
 5
              MS. JOHNSTON: Could we do it in the afternoon?
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
 6
 7
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                            Okay. That would be great.
              THE COURT: On Tuesday?
 8
              MS. JOHNSTON:
 9
                             Yes.
              THE COURT: Tuesday afternoon at 1:30.
10
11
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                            Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
          So I think actually we don't have to change any of the
12
     dates because they key off the pretrial conference date.
13
     seven days before the pretrial conference date would be --
14
              THE COURT: All the oppositions would be due, like,
15
16
     Tuesday.
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: -- due that Tuesday. Yeah.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  Thank you.
18
              MS. JOHNSTON: All right.
19
20
              THE COURT: I would still like you, however, to
21
     complete those filings by -- well, if you don't mind, I would
22
     like you to complete those filings by Monday.
23
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
              MS. JOHNSTON: No problem.
```

So it sounds like if there's going 1 THE COURT: Okay. to be a Superseding Indictment, it would be next Tuesday. 2 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Either next Tuesday or next 3 Thursday. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then in terms of the application to enter a guilty plea, I received an electronic 6 7 copy of that and have been through it. I didn't have any questions about it. 8 Has the Government had a chance to review it and does the 9 Government have any concerns about it in terms of the factual 10 11 basis that it lays out or the elements of the offenses, or anything like that? 12 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Your Honor, I reviewed it and I 13 don't have any concerns. The only thing that I wanted to note, 14 15 and I think this is legally fine, is that our Indictment 16 alleges two false statements in each count. The factual basis 17 establishes one of them, which I think is legally sufficient. THE COURT: So I was going to ask about that. 18 you for reminding me. 19 20 So the application says "I'm pleading guilty to the second 21 prong of each of Counts 1 and 2"; right? 22 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Correct. 23 THE COURT: And so I was going back. Is the -- I don't have the -- probably by now I do have the Superseding 24 25 Indictment. Let me --

THE CLERK: You should. 1 (Pause in proceedings.) 2 MS. JOHNSTON: I'm sure one of us has an extra copy. 3 THE COURT: Here it is. I got it. Kristen e-mailed 4 5 it to me right here. 6 MS. JOHNSTON: Okay. 7 (Pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: So just looking at Count 1, for example, 8 there's an allegation that he did not have -- there's an 9 10 allegation that he indicated on the form that he did not have 11 and never had a mental disorder of any sort despite having represented to the Department of Veterans Affairs that he had 12 PTSD; and then the second allegation is that he indicated on 13 the form that he had never and did not currently receive 14 15 medical disability benefits despite having received 16 service-related medical disability benefits from the VA since 17 2011. 18 And so in that count you've pleaded two false statements 19 essentially. 20 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: That's correct. 21 THE COURT: He's proposing to plead to one of them and your view, like their view, is that this disposes of Count 1? 22 23 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor. There's no -- nothing -- you don't want to 24 THE COURT: 25 go to trial on the first prong of Count 1?

```
MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
 1
                                  That's correct.
                          Okay. And same thing with Count 2?
 2
              THE COURT:
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  Correct.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. So is that, then, going to continue
 4
     to be an issue in the trial?
 5
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: So there is -- that does relate to
 6
 7
     the obstruction counts. So there -- yes, it will still be an
     issue at trial.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                             I think to be more precise, the counts
10
              MS. JOHNSTON:
11
     won't be at issue.
                         The evidence regarding the PTSD will likely
    have -- could be sought to be admitted by the Government, maybe
12
13
    by the Defense.
              THE COURT: Well, the evidence regarding the PTSD and
14
15
     the evidence regarding the representations about mental health
16
     conditions.
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: They could potentially seek to admit
     them I would assume having -- you know, stuff's all kind of
18
19
     interrelated.
20
              THE COURT: Yeah.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Part of our hope of pleading guilty is
21
     that it will limit the scope of the evidence and we'll have a
22
23
     shorter trial, but I can imagine the Government may view some
     things as needing to come in for context.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                                 Good.
                          Okay.
```

That was the only question I had. So the Government is 1 satisfied with the application then? 2 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor. There is --3 there is one issue that I wanted to highlight for the Court 4 5 before proceeding to the plea, however. The parties had initially intended to address this at the 6 pretrial conference, but last week we filed a notice of a 7 potential conflict of interest involving one of 8 Mr. McDougall's [sic] attorneys. I think that potential 9 10 conflict is more salient to the obstruction counts than the 11 false statement counts, and so I'm not sure that anything needs to be done at this time but I did want to highlight for the 12 Court as well -- that for the Court as well. 13 THE COURT: One of Mr. McDougall's attorneys? 14 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Sorry. One of Mr. Chrisman's 15 16 attorneys, Mr. McDougall. Mr. Chrisman --THE COURT: You said there's a potential conflict of 17 interest with Mr. McDougall as it relates to what? 18 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: As it relates to this case. 19 Ι 20 think the conflict comes into sharper focus with respect to 21 Counts 3, 4, and 5 than it does for 1 and 2.

But essentially the conflict arises because we have alleged that Mr. Chrisman went to a potential witness and asked that witness to make representations about having advised Mr. Chrisman to fill out a form in a certain way. One of those

22

23

24

25

e-mails in the course of that -- in the course of those conversations Mr. Chrisman represented that he thought that Mr. McDougall would have been in contact with the witness about this issue and said that he would forward those communications on to Mr. McDougall.

We have spoken with Mr. McDougall, and he's told us that he was unaware of that conduct. I have no reason to disbelieve him. I take him at his word. I do think it raises the issue of -- nonetheless, raises the issue of Mr. McDougall being a potential witness of some of the conduct that would be relevant to Counts 3, 4, and 5.

As the Court knows, there are a number of conversations that can be interpreted a number of ways so even if Mr. McDougall did not know that he was having these conversations, Mr. Chrisman's interpretation may have been different.

I think at this point --

THE COURT: Or even if it wasn't different, there are these e-mails which make reference to communications with McDougall.

This does sound like a real concern to me, but what are you -- so you said you filed something about this?

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: I did. We filed a notice of potential conflict last week. I can hand up a copy for the Court.

THE COURT: So in your notice what do you propose to do about this?

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: We didn't take a position on what to do. I think it's hard for us to know the depth of the potential conflict at this point just because we don't know the extent to which Mr. McDougall was aware of potentially the issues that led to the obstruction-related conduct.

I think at this point because Ms. Johnston is here representing Mr. Chrisman, I think it would be sufficient to confirm that Ms. Johnston has reviewed the issue with Mr. Chrisman and that he, nonetheless, intends to plead guilty to Counts 1 and 2 after having learned of that issue.

THE COURT: Well, I was thinking of it more as an issue that we are going to need to think carefully about before trial, but I take your point that, you know, perhaps it's relevant to the decision whether to plead guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as well.

MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: That's correct. And I had anticipated engaging in further discussions with Ms. Johnston and Mr. McDougall on how exactly to address this prior to the pretrial conference.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right. Ms. Johnston, do you have anything you want to say about this?

MS. JOHNSTON: Sure. First, we do plan to file

something. I actually wanted to see if there was going to be a superseding today so that's what I was waiting on because I don't think this allegation or concern affects these two counts. They're different in time and different in quality.

They do potentially affect the three remaining counts -the contempt, witness tampering, and obstruction -- and so
that's why we do need to address it. I don't have them with
me, but I do have declarations from Mr. Chrisman and
Mr. McDougall that we will be filing with the Court.

In essence, Mr. McDougall says he -- well, anyway, I won't go into the precision of it because I can't do it off the top of my head.

Mr. Chrisman, if he has to, would waive any conflict because he wants to keep Mr. McDougall as his counsel. He doesn't want him to become a witness.

What Government counsel and I talked about this morning is the only way it would affect this case here at the guilty plea would be if Mr. Chrisman was worried that he was going to lose Mr. McDougall as his counsel for the trial, would he plead guilty to, I don't know, somehow avoid that or something like that.

And I said, you know, if that was an issue, we should raise that with the Court. It's not, in fact, factually an issue. That's not why he's pleading guilty. He's pleading quilty because he thinks it's in his best interest and he is

guilty of the crime he's pleading guilty to.

So it's fair to raise it because the question is: Does it affect this guilty plea at all? I can say factually it doesn't, and I've had a conversation with Mr. Chrisman about it just to make sure he thinks the same thing, which he does but he can answer for himself.

But, yeah, it could be an issue for purposes of the trial. We have some suggestions of how to address it but it's sort of not for today.

THE COURT: Right. It seems to me that it falls in the category -- within the general category of, you know, you're proposing to plead guilty to these two counts and each of these counts carries a maximum of five years, and that means that you're exposing yourself to potentially 10 years in prison; and on top of that --

MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- you know, the trial on the remaining counts could go really badly, and this might be one reason why it goes really badly. There could be many other reasons why it goes really badly. And it could go really badly and then the sentencing following the trial on those counts could also go really badly.

And so, you know, by pleading guilty to these two counts, you know, you're not protecting yourself from, you know, either a 10-year sentence with respect to these two counts or from,

```
you know, potential even more severe sentence on top of that
 1
     from the remaining counts. I mean, it seems to me this is one
 2
     of many ways that things could go badly for Mr. Chrisman that
 3
     he needs to be aware of.
 4
 5
              MS. JOHNSTON: Agreed, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. So has the application been signed?
 6
 7
              MS. JOHNSTON: It was signed --
              THE COURT: The one you sent to us was already signed?
 8
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah. We probably did it the wrong
 9
     way, Your Honor. What we did was sign it in the office.
10
11
    Mr. Chrisman met with Mr. McDougall, went through everything,
     and then they signed it in the office and then we filed that.
12
     So we could re-sign it in court if the Court wants.
13
              THE COURT: Well, only -- I don't really know if it
14
15
     matters, but the application itself says "Signed by me in open
16
     court" --
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: I noticed that.
              THE COURT: -- "in the presence of my attorney."
18
     why don't you --
19
20
              MS. JOHNSTON: Why don't we go ahead and do that.
              THE COURT: -- have Mr. Chrisman sign it again.
21
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Okay. And that's on an unnumbered page
22
23
     at the end. So what I'm going to do, Your Honor, is I'm going
     to have him sign below and then we'll put the date. Today is
24
     the 14th.
25
```

And if you can sign here (indicating) and then 1 Okay. initial the date. 2 (Defendant complying.) 3 MS. JOHNSTON: And then we'll file it again. 4 5 THE CLERK: I can take it. MS. JOHNSTON: Or you can take care of it. 6 7 And then on the next page, Your Honor, there's a certification of counsel, and I'll sign below Mr. McDougall and 8 indicate the date of the 14th. 9 (Pause in proceedings.) 10 11 MS. JOHNSTON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. You can hand that to Kristen, and I 12 13 have the electronic copy here and that's fine for me. And so now, Mr. Chrisman, as I'm sure your lawyer has 14 15 discussed with you, you need to answer a series of questions 16 and those answers have to be given under oath so I'll ask the 17 courtroom deputy to administer the oath now. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. May I have a moment 18 19 with my attorney? 20 THE COURT: Oh, take as much time as you need. And, by the way, if it needs to be more than a moment, you can go 21 22 have a seat there and we can have the hearings in our other 23 cases and you-all can talk or you can talk out in the hallway or whatever. There is no rush. There's a rush in the sense 24

that there's a trial coming up, but in terms of making sure

25

```
that you have had adequate opportunity to discuss all of this
 1
     with your lawyer and think everything through carefully, there
 2
     were some issues that we just discussed here, if you need more
 3
     time, you want to go out in the hallway, come back, you know,
 4
 5
     in half an hour or an hour, that's perfectly fine.
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Do you guys want to do that? Do you want
     to continue this?
 8
 9
              MS. JOHNSTON: Why don't I just step aside for a
10
     moment --
11
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
              MS. JOHNSTON: -- to find out if it's short or long.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
14
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT:
                         Give me one second.
15
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
16
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 Sorry about that.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Mr. Chrisman has a question of the
18
     Court but permitted me to ask the question if you would.
19
20
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
              MS. JOHNSTON: So there was some discussion just a few
21
     moments ago about if the case goes badly and the sentence could
22
23
     be higher, and it was -- the Court had linked it together with
     the discussion about his lawyer. And I think in my own mind I
24
25
     had separated it into the part where the Court is going to go
```

through what the exposure is here, but I don't think it was that clear to Mr. Chrisman. So if the Court could clarify.

THE COURT: That's a very good question. Thank you for asking that.

So, first of all, you know, how things go -- let me -- the first thing I want to make clear is how things go in the trial would not, I think, affect the sentence necessarily on these counts to which you are pleading guilty. Each count to which you are proposing to plead guilty today carries a 5-year maximum term.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So by pleading guilty to each of these, you are exposing yourself potentially to 10 years in prison.

And then you're going to trial on the other three counts. If you are convicted of those other three counts, you know, I don't know what the maximum sentence is for those counts but you will be exposing yourself potentially to a significant amount of additional prison time as a result of conviction on those three counts.

I don't know whether you would, in fact, get more prison time. I don't know -- I would need to -- we would need to see how the trial goes and we would need to see -- I would need to consider the Presentence Report that will be prepared on you and the materials that both sides will submit in connection with your sentencing hearing; but the information that comes

out at trial could be relevant -- you know, obviously could be relevant to sentencing and could affect the sentencing on those counts, and so you need to be aware that the trial will have obviously potential consequences for the sentence that you ultimately receive.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does that clarify the issue for you or is there anything -- if you have any further confusion or need clarification on anything that I said, please don't hesitate to let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. No, to answer your question, Your Honor. You've made it much clearer so I think I have a clearer understanding. So thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOHNSTON: And the only correction I would make to the Court is obviously the Court has to consider and will be advising the defendant that the Court has to consider

Sentencing Guidelines of course.

THE COURT: We'll get into that in the colloquy.

MS. JOHNSTON: Of course. And the Sentencing
Guidelines do include a plus two for obstruction and since we
don't have a Plea Agreement here, we have no agreement on what
the guidelines could be. So if the Court believed after
listening to all the evidence, even if Mr. Chrisman was
acquitted on the obstruction counts, the Court might still find

```
by preponderance of the evidence that the plus two for
 1
     obstruction could apply. So that's one way that going to trial
 2
     on those counts, even if acquitted, could still end up with a
 3
     higher quideline exposure.
 4
              THE COURT: Higher guideline exposure or just a higher
 5
     sentence.
 6
 7
              MS. JOHNSTON: Absolutely.
              THE COURT: Because regardless of what the quidelines
 8
     say, they are only advisory and I will be applying the
 9
     statutory sentencing factors. And if I conclude by a
10
11
    preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Chrisman has given false
     testimony, that's correct, that it could affect the sentence.
12
          Okay. And, Mr. Chrisman, you're nodding your head
13
     indicating that you understand that.
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I believe I
16
    understand that.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. So let me go ahead and -- so are
     you ready to proceed now?
18
19
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
20
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. So I'll let the courtroom deputy go
     ahead and administer the oath.
22
                         Please raise your right hand.
23
              THE CLERK:
                            (Defendant sworn.)
24
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I do.
```

Mr. Chrisman, now that you are 1 THE COURT: Okay. under oath, if you answer any of my questions falsely, the 2 Government can bring additional charges against you for perjury 3 or making false statements. Do you understand that? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I want to tell you that I 6 7 really appreciate the question that you asked and to emphasize that if my questions start coming too fast, please do not 8 hesitate to ask me to slow down or ask me to repeat a question. 9 10 If you don't understand a question, you know, feel free to 11 ask me, feel free to call timeout and discuss it with your This is not a speed test. There's no penalty for 12 slowing the process down. The only thing that matters is that 13 you fully understand all of the issues that we're discussing 14 15 here today. Okay? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: All right. What's your full name? THE DEFENDANT: Gregory James Chrisman. 18 Are you a U.S. citizen? 19 THE COURT: 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Where were you born? San Francisco, California. 22 THE DEFENDANT: 23 How old are you? THE COURT: 58 years old. 24 THE DEFENDANT: 25 **THE COURT:** How far did you go in school?

```
THE DEFENDANT: I completed undergraduate, college
 1
    undergraduate.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. And are you currently under the
 3
     influence of any drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage that
 4
 5
     would hinder your ability to understand the proceedings here
 6
     today?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. And are you fully satisfied with
 8
     the advice and information you've received from your lawyer?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: You've had an opportunity to review the
     application to enter a quilty plea before signing it?
12
13
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And you've reviewed that carefully?
14
15
                             Yes, Your Honor.
              THE DEFENDANT:
              THE COURT: And you've had adequate opportunity to
16
17
     discuss it with your lawyer before signing it?
18
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
              THE COURT: Okay. Are you confident that you
19
20
     understand everything in the application?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
                          Okay. And nobody's made you any promises
22
              THE COURT:
23
     or assurances that are not in the application to get you to
    plead quilty?
24
25
              THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor, that's correct.
```

Okay. Nobody's threatened you in any way 1 THE COURT: 2 to get you to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: You're pleading quilty of your own free 4 5 will because you are, in fact, guilty? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And let's talk about what you're pleading quilty to. The application says that you are pleading 8 quilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the Superseding Indictment, both of 9 10 which charge you with making a material false statement to the 11 Government in violation of 18 U.S.C., Section 1001(a)(2). that consistent with your understanding? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And the application specifies that you're 14 15 only pleading guilty to the second aspect of each of the 16 counts, the one that makes reference to disability benefits. 17 Is that consistent with your understanding? 18 THE DEFENDANT: That's what I understand, sir. THE COURT: Okay. So just so that we're absolutely 19 20 clear, you're not pleading quilty and you're not admitting to a 21 factual basis for the allegation that you falsely stated that 22 you did not previously have a mental disability; is that 23 correct? That's correct, Your Honor. 24 THE DEFENDANT: 25 THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's talk about the maximum

penalty for each of these counts. 1 2 For each count there's a maximum prison sentence of 5 years. Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: And so I know we discussed this already, but just to repeat and be clear, the sentences could be 6 7 consecutive to one another. So by pleading guilty to both of these counts, you are exposing yourself to a potential sentence 8 of up to 10 years in prison. Do you understand that? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. You're also -- also, each count has a maximum -- or for both counts there's a maximum supervised 12 release term of 3 years. Do you understand that? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And for each count there's a 15 16 mandatory special assessment of \$100 and for each count there's 17 a maximum fine of \$250,000. Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Now, in addition -- again, I know 19 20 we discussed this before but I want to say it again now that 21 you're under oath -- even if you plead guilty to those two 22 counts, the Government has the right to proceed to trial 23 against you on the remaining three counts of the Superseding Indictment. Do you understand that? 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if you are convicted on any of those THE COURT: three counts, there could be an additional prison sentence based on those three counts. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And so by pleading guilty to these two counts, you're not protecting yourself from further punishment, including further prison sentences for those three counts. you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Very clear. THE COURT: And, again, you know, the trial could go badly and that could have a significant effect on the overall sentence you receive in this case. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. And there are a variety of ways it THE COURT: could go badly. One of the issues that was identified to me is that there is a potential conflict of interest with Mr. McDougall. We don't know how that's going to be resolved; but if you waive conflict of interest and, you know, evidence comes in about your communications with Mr. McDougall, that could adversely affect your chances at trial. Do you understand that? Yes, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Okay. And then as your lawyer astutely noted, even if you are acquitted of those three counts, your testimony in that case -- in that trial, if you choose to

```
testify, could affect your sentence on these two counts.
 1
                                                                Do
 2
     you understand that?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT:
                                 I'm looking at the application to
 4
                          Okay.
 5
     enter a guilty plea, and paragraph 3 and paragraph 5 contain a
     description of what you did, and I want to just make sure that
 6
 7
     you've had adequate opportunity to really focus on those
     paragraphs and I want to make sure that they accurately
 8
     describe what you did. Do they?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: (Defendant examines document.)
10
11
     Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12
13
              MS. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, I have one correction.
     There's a typo. A digit got dropped when we retyped this.
14
15
     Line 21 in paragraph 4 -- excuse me -- 5, should read
16
     "December 2017" and we'll make that as an interlineation.
                                                                 The
17
     "7" got dropped.
18
                          Okay. Go ahead and change that.
              THE COURT:
                             I'm going to.
19
              MS. JOHNSTON:
20
                          And have Mr. Chrisman initial it.
              THE COURT:
21
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
22
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Now, in your application there's reference
     to the Sentencing Guidelines and you heard your lawyer mention
24
25
     the Sentencing Guidelines. The most important thing for you to
```

remember about the guidelines is that they are not binding on 1 They are merely advisory. The sentencing guideline 2 calculation is my starting point in deciding what the 3 appropriate sentence should be, but they are -- I have the 4 5 authority to go higher than what the guidelines recommend or lower than what the guidelines recommend. Do you understand 6 that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And even if the Government 9 recommends a particular sentence, I may have the authority to 10 11 go higher than that. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT:

THE COURT: Okay. And I won't be able to reach my own conclusion about the appropriate sentence for you until your sentencing hearing after receiving the Presentence Report from the probation officer and receiving the papers that will be filed by both sides in connection with your sentencing hearing. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And then at your sentencing hearing, when I hand down your sentence, if you are not happy with that sentence, that does not give you the right to withdraw your guilty plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you understand that you're

```
pleading guilty to felony offenses?
 1
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: And by pleading quilty to felony offenses,
 3
     you may be giving up some of your civil rights, such as the
 4
 5
     right to vote, the right to hold public office, and the right
 6
     to serve on a jury. Do you understand that?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And that includes, by the way, the right
 8
     to possess a firearm. Do you understand that?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                                 Now, there was a --
              MS. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, there are some additional
12
13
     collateral consequences that we put out -- put down in
     Exhibit A that particularly apply to Mr. Chrisman. He's been
14
15
     advised by his counsel with regard to it, but --
              THE COURT: Because of his status as a pilot?
16
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: Because of his status as a pilot.
18
              THE COURT: Oh, I see that.
          So have you -- this Exhibit A describing the collateral
19
20
     consequences, have you had sufficient opportunity to discuss
21
     those with your lawyer?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I have.
22
23
                          And you understand those consequences?
              THE COURT:
24
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes, I do.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. The other thing is that there was
```

```
at least one pretrial motion in this case, a motion to
 1
     suppress. By pleading guilty to these counts, you are
 2
     relinquishing your right to appeal the pretrial rulings in this
 3
            Do you understand that?
 4
     case.
 5
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             No, I don't.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
 7
              MS. JOHNSTON: Can I have a moment, Your Honor?
              THE COURT: You can have a moment, but let me just
 8
     clarify.
 9
10
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Sure.
11
              THE COURT: So the one pretrial ruling that I remember
     was a motion to suppress was filed and that was, if I remember
12
     correctly, that motion to suppress was partly denied and then
13
    part of it became moot; right?
14
15
              MS. JOHNSTON: That's right.
16
              THE COURT: After we clarified what evidence the
17
    Government intended to introduce and what it didn't.
18
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             That's right.
              THE COURT: Was it a motion to suppress or was it some
19
20
     other -- was it a motion --
21
              MS. JOHNSTON: It was titled a motion to suppress,
     that would be right, heard on September 24th, and the Court's
22
23
     recollection is correct.
              THE COURT: All right. But, in any event, when you
24
25
    plead guilty, you automatically relinquish the right to appeal
```

pretrial rulings, such as the denial of your motion to suppress. That's the law, that you relinquish your right to appeal those pretrial rulings.

You would still have the right to appeal your sentence, but you would -- and you would have the right to appeal your conviction if you contend that your actual conviction came about as the result of ineffective assistance of counsel or something like that; but the pretrial rulings, like a motion to suppress, you lose the right to appeal that. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Not fully.

THE COURT: Okay. So why don't you -- and so what we're going to do now is I want to make sure that Mr. Chrisman fully understands his rights in that regard, so we're going to continue this hearing. We're going to have one civil matter. We're going to hear one civil matter, the Lyft case, and then we will resume with this one.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

May I clarify one thing before we go?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. JOHNSTON: I actually have a different view of the appellate rights because he's still going to trial on three other counts. So to the extent if the motion to suppress -- sorry -- if the motion to suppress has relevance to the three remaining counts, and I'm not sure it does, but if it did, then

```
that issue could be preserved on appeal if convicted of the
 1
     three other counts and if it was a basis for appeal. I think
 2
     it's kind of a theoretical point I've raised.
 3
              THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure that's right.
 4
 5
     I think that under Ninth Circuit law that may not be right.
 6
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Okay.
              THE COURT: And it's not an issue that I've looked at
 7
     recently, but -- and the Ninth Circuit law that I'm thinking of
 8
     is, you know, it doesn't speak to this kind of strange factual
 9
     scenario that we have.
10
11
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Right.
              THE COURT: But I thought the statements of the
12
13
    Ninth Circuit were pretty unequivocal about the relinquishment
     of your right to challenge a pretrial motion if you've pled
14
15
     guilty. Well, you know, you might be right about that. I
     mean, maybe the point that we need to clarify, the point that
16
17
     we need to make sure Mr. Chrisman understands is that there is
     at least a significant risk --
18
19
              MS. JOHNSTON: Right.
20
              THE COURT: -- that he may be unable to appeal the
21
     denial of his pretrial motions.
22
              MS. JOHNSTON: I think that's the way to go for a
23
    Rule 11 hearing.
24
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
25
              MS. JOHNSTON: But I'm not sure -- I'm not sure the
```

```
Ninth Circuit would agree with the Court, but we can go --
 1
              THE COURT: And I'm not sure I would agree --
 2
              MS. JOHNSTON: With yourself.
 3
              THE COURT: -- with myself either, and you can offer
 4
 5
     any thoughts on this if you want after we come back.
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Thank you.
 8
                       (Recess taken at 11:14 a.m.)
 9
                   (Proceedings resumed at 11:32 a.m.)
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Recall Chrisman.
              THE CLERK: Recalling Case Number 18-cr-00390, U.S.A.
12
13
     vs. Gregory James Chrisman.
          Counsel, please step forward and state your appearances
14
15
     for the record again.
16
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Good morning again. Ajay
17
     Krishnamurthy for the United States.
18
          I think Ms. Johnston just stepped outside.
              THE COURT: Are they still talking? Because we can
19
20
     just call the next civil case. Why don't we just call the next
21
     civil case.
22
                                  Thank you.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
23
                       (Recess taken at 11:33 a.m.)
                   (Proceedings resumed at 11:58 a.m.)
24
25
              THE CLERK: Recalling Case Number 18-cr-00390, U.S.A.
```

```
vs. Gregory James Chrisman.
 1
          Counsel, please step forward and state your appearances
 2
     for the record again.
 3
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Good morning again. Ajay
 4
 5
     Krishnamurthy for the United States.
              THE COURT: Good morning. You don't need to make your
 6
 7
     appearances again.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Let me just go back and pull up this file
 9
     again. Interesting day today.
10
11
              MS. JOHNSTON: Uh-huh.
              THE COURT: A lot of variety.
12
13
          Okay. Go ahead. Anything you want to tell me after your
     discussions?
14
15
              MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: We had a chance to talk. Mr. Chrisman
18
     and I had a chance to discuss the appellate stance that this
19
     case sits in and go through the different scenarios, and he
20
    understands that there is no assurance that he could, if
21
     convicted after a trial, appeal the motion to suppress, but we
     also cannot be assured that he cannot. It is uncertain.
22
                                                               So he
23
    has to understand that he may not be able to.
              THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I think that's right.
24
     think you make a good point that there could be an argument --
25
```

MS. JOHNSTON: Potential. 1 THE COURT: -- you know, that the denial of the motion 2 to suppress could still be appealed as it relates not to the --3 the more I think about it, the more I realize it wouldn't 4 5 undo -- even if -- I suspect that even if the ruling on the motion to suppress were reversed, it probably would not undo 6 7 the guilty pleas -- the guilty pleas on these two counts. may be that you could still appeal it and it would apply to the 8 convictions after trial on the additional three counts. 9 10 think that's probably the most likely outcome now that I think 11 about it, is that you will --MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah, I agree, given that the 12 13 Government during that hearing, you know, withdrew their desire to call Dr. Brath during the trial on the 2001 counts, and we 14 15 were left with no evidence from Dr. Brath and -- other than --16 THE COURT: Right. MS. JOHNSTON: -- a foundation. 17 THE COURT: That wasn't ruled on. 18 MS. JOHNSTON: It wasn't ruled on. 19 20 THE COURT: But the idea -- I think conceptually -- I mean, we're speculating about how the Court of Appeals would 21 22 rule on a complicated issue, and so I think the main point is 23 there's risk; right? 24 MS. JOHNSTON: That's right. 25 THE COURT: But I think actually the point of that

case law is that, you know, you can't -- if you plead guilty, 1 you can't undo that by challenging a pretrial ruling. And so I 2 could easily imagine a scenario where a court said, you know, 3 if Mr. Chrisman pled quilty to two counts and then were later 4 5 convicted after trial on three other counts, if the motion to suppress were relevant to both of them, it would undo the 6 conviction on the three counts following the trial but it would 7 not undo the conviction on the two counts to which he pled 8 9 quilty. 10 MS. JOHNSTON: I agree. 11 THE COURT: But, anyway, I think, again, the most important big-picture concept here is that there is significant 12 risk that Mr. Chrisman is losing the right to appeal any 13 pretrial rulings. 14 15 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. And to be more clear, what 16 I've told him is if he feels strongly that he wants to preserve 17 that motion to suppress and wants to take it up on appeal, then 18 he shouldn't plead guilty today. He should let it go to trial 19 and preserve all rights. 20 That's well put. THE COURT: 21 So I'll just -- Mr. Chrisman, I'll remind you 22 you're still under oath. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So do you understand that discussion that

24

25

I just had with your lawyer?

```
THE DEFENDANT:
                              I believe I do.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. And you understand, you know, if
 2
     you -- the only way to assure your ability to appeal the denial
 3
 4
     of the motion to suppress is by not pleading quilty to these
 5
     two counts?
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat that, please, sir.
                                 The only way to ensure your ability
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
     to appeal the denial of the motion to suppress is to not plead
 8
     guilty to these two counts.
 9
10
              THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Did you have anything to add on
     this, by the way?
12
13
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: No, Your Honor. I think that's
     correct.
14
15
                         Okay. And that by pleading guilty to
              THE COURT:
16
     these two counts, you're risking the possibility that you will
17
    not be able to appeal the denial of the motion to suppress at
18
     all as it relates to any of the counts. Do you understand
19
     that?
20
              MS. JOHNSTON: The counts that you're pleading quilty
21
     to.
              THE DEFENDANT: I do understand that.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                              I was saying something different.
                          No.
     I'm saying there is a risk. We don't know.
                                                  This is not an
24
25
     issue --
```

MS. JOHNSTON: 1 Okay. THE COURT: I don't believe that this issue has been 2 addressed by the Appellate Courts, but there is a risk by 3 pleading quilty to these two counts today that you will not be 4 5 able to appeal the denial of the motion to suppress at all as it relates to any of the counts, and you have to understand 6 7 that that is a risk; that by pleading guilty to these two counts, you may be completely forfeiting your right to appeal 8 the pretrial ruling as to all counts. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I understand that. And if I 10 11 could take a minute again to --THE COURT: Absolutely. 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. THE COURT: Yes. 14 15 (Defense counsel and defendant conferring.) 16 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You said that you understand that, and are 18 you -- do you continue to wish to plead guilty to these two 19 counts? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Sorry. Yes. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Maybe you were smiling because, of 21 course, you don't wish --22 23 THE DEFENDANT: I don't wish to plead guilty, but I'm willing to proceed. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. Fair enough.

Now let's talk about your trial rights. 1 Okay. this is probably obvious to you, but you have the right to 2 continue to plead not quilty to these two counts. Do you 3 4 understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And if you continue to plead not guilty to 6 7 these two counts, you have a right to a jury trial on them. you understand that? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And at trial you would be presumed 10 11 innocent on these counts and the Government would be required to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. You understand 12 that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: You'd have a right to counsel at trial and 15 16 at every other stage in the proceeding. You understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You'd have the right to testify in your 18 defense. You would also have the right not to testify; and if 19 you chose not to testify, that cannot be used against you at 20 21 trial. You understand that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You would have the right to cross-examine any Government witness and you'd have the right 24

to call witnesses in your own defense. Do you understand that?

25

Yes, Your Honor. 1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And by entering this guilty plea, 2 THE COURT: you're giving up the trial rights I just described as well as 3 all other rights associated with a criminal trial. 4 5 understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. So is there anything else I should be discussing with Mr. Chrisman before taking his plea? 8 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: The only -- one other issue, 9 Your Honor, is there are two elements of the offense, 10 11 willfulness and materiality, that are addressed only in Exhibit A but not the application itself. So I would just ask 12 the Court to confirm with Mr. Chrisman that he also adopts the 13 facts set forth in Exhibit A. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let me just take a look back 15 16 at that. 17 (Pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Oh, yes. So I should ask you also, did 18 you -- this Exhibit A, which provides a further factual basis 19 for your quilty plea, did you have sufficient opportunity to 20 review this carefully and discuss it with your lawyer before 21 signing onto it? 22 23 Yes, Your Honor, I did. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And that it accurately describes what you 24 did? 25

```
May I have a moment to reread it?
 1
              THE DEFENDANT:
              THE COURT: Certainly.
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: (Defendant examines document.)
 3
          Can I have another moment, please, sir?
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
               (Defendant and Defense counsel conferring.)
 6
              MS. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, there's one thing in
 7
     Exhibit A that is something that the defendant is not saying is
 8
            He's saying that he expects the Government to be able to
 9
     represent something because it's something outside his own
10
11
     knowledge, and that -- I'll just read it. It's the last
     sentence.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                         Wait. Hold on. Let me pull it back up.
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Sure.
14
15
              THE COURT: The last sentence?
16
              MS. JOHNSTON: On page 2.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MS. JOHNSTON: In his factual basis he writes
18
19
     (reading):
20
              "We expect that the Government will represent to the
21
          Court that Mr. Chrisman's checking 'No' to box 18Y in
          December 2017 and June 2018 was material to the FAA's
22
          decisions or activities."
23
          That's something not within his control so he doesn't
24
     actually know.
25
```

```
Is that adequate?
 1
              THE COURT:
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Your Honor, I think if --
 2
              THE COURT: I think that might not be adequate if
 3
 4
     materiality is an element of the offense.
 5
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  That's true.
                                                I think Mr. Chrisman
 6
     would have to agree that the Government could prove that the
 7
     false statements are material; that is, that they had a
     tendency to impact or influence the agency's activities.
 8
              MS. JOHNSTON: We're saying that the Court can -- the
 9
     Government can prove that. We're agreeing they can prove it.
10
11
     It's just something not within his personal possession of
12
     knowledge.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Is that enough?
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor. If Mr. Chrisman
14
15
     agrees that we could prove that beyond a reasonable doubt, I
     think that's enough.
16
17
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
          All right.
18
                      So --
              MS. JOHNSTON: And, Your Honor, it doesn't convert it
19
20
     into an Alford plea. He's pled quilty to all the rest.
21
     Court knows, there are times when there are things strictly
22
     within the possession of the Government that the Government has
     to represent.
23
24
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
                 So just on that specific issue, Mr. Chrisman, are
25
```

```
you agreeing that the Government will be able to prove beyond a
 1
     reasonable doubt that checking "No" to those boxes was material
 2
     to the FAA's decisions?
 3
              MS. JOHNSTON: Those boxes being box 18Y on the two
 4
 5
     different forms.
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat the question, please,
 7
     sir.
              THE COURT:
                          Sure. Are you prepared to admit -- we're
 8
    kind of slightly changing the wording of this sentence down
 9
10
    here --
11
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Okay.
              THE COURT: -- and I'm inquiring whether you are
12
     prepared to admit that the Government will be able to prove
13
     beyond a reasonable doubt that your checking "No" to those
14
15
    boxes was material to the FAA's decisions?
              MS. JOHNSTON: Or activities.
16
17
              THE COURT: Or activities.
18
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
                          Okay. Here's what I'm going to do.
19
              THE COURT:
20
     seeing that Mr. Chrisman is pausing and has some concerns about
21
            He has every right to pause and have concerns about
     that.
22
     that; and, like I said, there's no penalty or punishment for
23
     slowing down the process, but I believe that there have been
     enough pauses and there have been enough times where you've
24
25
     seemed concerned about this and that you've needed to speak
```

with your lawyer that I think we should go into recess and I think that you should spend some more time discussing this matter and any of the other matters we discussed today with your lawyer before we proceed.

And what I would like to ask you to do in particular is to read through again this factual basis in Appendix A and read through it carefully and make sure you're comfortable admitting to it and make sure no changes need to be made. If any changes need to be made, make sure the Government is satisfied with it.

And if you want to talk more about the appellate right, you know, the right to appeal the pretrial rulings, you can do that and you can discuss anything else that you need to discuss with your lawyer.

I think it's more important that we ensure that you have enough time on this stuff than it is to rush through the process.

So when shall we come back?

MS. JOHNSTON: This afternoon would be nice, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I have relatively limited time this afternoon. So what? 2:30?

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: We'll come back at 2:30. If we are unable to get a reporter, Kristen will e-mail you and it will have to be tomorrow or next week or something.

```
Thank you, Your Honor.
 1
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Okay.
 2
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 3
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 12:15 p.m.)
 4
 5
                    (Proceedings resumed at 2:27 p.m.)
              THE CLERK:
                          Recalling Case Number 18-CR-00390.
 6
 7
          Counsel, please step forward.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Good afternoon.
 8
     Krishnamurthy and David Ward for the United States.
 9
10
              THE COURT:
                          Hello.
11
              MS. JOHNSTON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
                                                           Pamela
     Johnston with Mr. Chrisman.
12
              THE COURT:
13
                          Hello.
14
          Hello, Mr. Chrisman, again.
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Hello.
16
              THE COURT: So we were kind of at the finish line, but
17
     I actually thought it was important to give Mr. Chrisman some
     more time, not just to think about this, but to think about all
18
19
     the things we discussed during the colloguy, just to make sure
20
     Mr. Chrisman didn't have any last-minute doubts -- which would
     be perfectly fine; it's his right -- and also to make sure that
21
22
     Mr. Chrisman fully understood all of the issues we discussed.
23
     And I didn't think that it would be good to seek that assurance
     under the pressure of standing here in the courtroom with
24
25
     everybody waiting for decisions to be made. I thought a
```

time-out was in order.

So are we ready to proceed?

MS. JOHNSTON: We are, Your Honor. Thank you for the break in the action. Actually, it was helpful.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOHNSTON: We went back through some things, answered some questions. He had a chance to talk to his wife. So, all good. And we're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Okay. And so, Mr. Chrisman, I'll just ask you the same thing. I'll remind you that you're still under oath.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And do you feel that the break between this morning and now gave you enough time to think matters through carefully, ask any follow-up questions that you wanted to ask, either about this last issue we were discussing or any of the other issues that we discussed during the morning session?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. And I want to say thank you for that.

THE COURT: No. No problem at all. I can't emphasize enough that if you have any remaining doubts or remaining questions or you feel you need more time, you're totally free to take it, and it would not result in any sort of penalty for you on anything.

Thank you, Your Honor. 1 THE DEFENDANT: 2 THE COURT: Okay. MS. JOHNSTON: One of the things we were able to do, 3 Your Honor, we talked to the Government. They let us know the 4 5 primary evidence that they're relying upon for the materiality 6 comes from Ms. Northrup. There is a declaration in this case from Ms. Northrup. 7 reviewed it over the break. 8 We also talked to Mr. McDougall about what his 9 cross-examination would be of Ms. Northrup because he had 10 11 prepared a cross because we thought she was going to be testifying on the motion to suppress. 12 So we went through all of that; so we're fully up to 13 14 speed. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So then do we need to -- I know we 16 need to dot our I's and cross our T's on the factual basis 17 that's set forth in Appendix A. 18 But before that, is there anything to discuss with respect 19 to the other issues that we've been over so far? Anything 20 further to discuss, for example, on appellate rights or 21 anything further --22 No, Your Honor. MS. JOHNSTON: 23 **THE COURT:** -- to discuss on the penalties or anything along those lines? 24 25 MS. JOHNSTON: No. The focus of the discussion really

was about this last element, the materiality element, since 1 it's something that the Government has the burden to prove and 2 will be making a representation. So we needed to go back and 3 look at the factual basis for that representation, which we 4 5 did. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And then so where does that leave 7 us with respect to that? Is it that you still want to change -- you want to kind of alter that last paragraph to 8 state that the -- do you want to just admit to materiality, or 9 10 how is it going to work now? MS. JOHNSTON: I think it's what my colleague here had 11 actually suggested. So I'm going to let him go back and do it 12 13 again. It was --MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor. 14 15 MS. JOHNSTON: -- how the Government would prove materiality, and then the defendant will agree that 16 17 the Government can prove materiality through that method. MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: And, yes, Your Honor. Ιf 18 19 the Court would permit, I'll just establish the legal element 20 and briefly lay out the facts --21 THE COURT: Perfect. 22 MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: -- that we would tend to prove. 23 So the first is, the Government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the false statement had a natural 24

tendency to influence or was capable of influencing

25

the Agency's, that is, the FAA's decisions or activities.

There are two primary ways in which we would prove that.

The first is through the expert testimony of Dr. Susan Northrup, who is the FAA's Southern Regional Flight Director and, among other responsibilities, is in charge of reviewing airmen medical certificates in her region. She would testify that a positive answer on that question, the question about the medical disabilities, would prompt further inquiry and history-taking by either the FAA or the airmen medical examiner himself.

I would also point the Court and Mr. Chrisman to the second-to-last paragraph on his factual basis, when he explains what happened to him when he finally disclosed that information December 2018. So he reports that he answered "yes" to that box, the medical disabilities box. Four months later, the FAA asked for more information from him, which he supplied in the form of the August 2011 VA findings.

To be more specific, in December 2018, Mr. Chrisman disclosed that he had been receiving benefits from the Veterans Affairs Administration. And that follow-up letter from the FAA was a response which asked for more information and, specifically, a current summary of benefits and rated disabilities from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

And so I want to seek confirmation from you that, from

```
your standpoint, it is sufficient for Mr. Chrisman to admit
 1
     that the Government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt that
 2
     the statements were -- or the failure to check the box was
 3
     material.
 4
 5
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  That's correct, along with the
     other facts that I just stated in support of that statement.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. So are you admitting to all of that
     that was just described, Mr. Chrisman?
 8
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I am.
              THE COURT: You are. Okay. So then I'll ask you
10
11
     again, just in case -- just to make sure we're clear.
          Exhibit A, the narrative description of what you did in
12
13
     Exhibit A, I gather that you have had a chance during the break
     to go over that again with your lawyer.
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: And that it accurately -- subject to the
17
     change that we made to the last paragraph, that accurately
18
     describes what you did?
19
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so with that, is
20
     there anything further that we should be discussing before I
21
     take Mr. Chrisman's plea?
22
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Not from our end.
23
              MS. JOHNSTON: I don't think so, Your Honor.
24
25
              THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Chrisman, how do you plead
```

to Counts 1 and 2? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Chrisman is competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that he's aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that the guilty plea is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offenses. I, therefore, accept the plea, and the defendant is now judged guilty of the offenses.

And then, Mr. Chrisman, we will schedule a sentencing hearing for you. And before that, we'll refer you to the Probation Office for the preparation of a presentence report.

And just to make sure you're aware of this, the purpose of the presentence report is to assist me in determining what the appropriate sentence should be for you. You'll be asked to meet with a probation officer. You can have your lawyer there, if you wish. You'll also have the opportunity to object to anything that is contained in the presentence report.

And I will consider the presentence report, along with all other materials submitted by both sides in connection with your sentencing hearing.

But before I do that referral and before we schedule a sentencing hearing, I think we should wait until we've figured out what's happening with the trial on the three remaining counts. Right? So I gather that I will either see --

```
You should probably do the actual
 1
              THE CLERK:
     formalized referral.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         To Probation?
 3
              THE CLERK:
                         Yeah.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              THE CLERK:
                          And what they can do is create the file,
     and then they can stay all of their stuff.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 8
              THE CLERK:
                          So we'll have it done.
 9
              THE COURT: So we'll formally refer Mr. Chrisman to
10
     the Probation Office.
11
          And, Kristen, do you want me to sign the plea application?
12
              THE CLERK:
13
                          Yes.
14
              THE COURT:
                         What's today?
15
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             14th.
16
              THE CLERK:
                          14th.
              MS. JOHNSTON: Can we ensure that he doesn't have to
17
18
     go see Probation until sometime later in December?
              THE COURT: Yeah.
                                 I'm quite confident that they
19
20
     wouldn't have time to see him anyway, but they would also
21
     understand that it shouldn't happen before the trial.
22
          So I gather, then, I will either see you -- when did we
23
     schedule the pretrial conference for, now?
              MS. JOHNSTON: November 26th at 2 o'clock.
24
25
              THE CLERK:
                          1:30.
```

```
1
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             1:30.
                                    Sorry.
                                            1:30.
                                                    Yes.
                          November 26 -- so that's less -- that's
 2
              THE COURT:
     about a week and a half from now or almost two weeks from now.
 3
          So I gather the next time I will see you is either
 4
 5
     November 26th or -- well, I guess -- we're either going to
 6
     proceed with the pretrial conference on November 26th or you're
 7
     going to let me know that we don't need to.
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  That's correct.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: And so when are you going to let me know?
     When should I plan on hearing from you as to whether -- if we
10
11
     don't need to do the pretrial conference, then I assume we can
     schedule the sentencing hearing -- will we need to see you on
12
     the 26th for anything if the Government decides to drop the
13
     three remaining counts?
14
15
                                  I think that we probably could
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
16
     schedule the sentencing -- in that event, we could probably
17
     schedule the sentencing by stipulation --
18
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             I would agree.
19
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  -- without appearance.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
21
              MS. JOHNSTON: We'll just be in touch with your clerk
     so that we find a date that works for you.
22
                                 So all of that is fine.
23
                          Okay.
              THE COURT:
     am I going to know -- when am I going to hear from you all
24
25
     about what's happening?
```

```
1
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                    So our filings
 2
     are due on Monday at noon. We intend to submit all those
     filings with the understanding that we'll proceed to trial.
 3
          I think that we will be in a position to let you know for
 4
 5
     sure one way or the other by Thursday, by a week from today.
                          So the 21st?
              THE COURT:
 6
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
 7
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT: Okay. So obviously, we will be doing a
 8
    bunch of work in preparation for the pretrial conference.
 9
10
    please let us know as soon as you know something.
11
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  I will, yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay.
12
                                 Thank you.
13
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY:
                                  Thank you.
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.
17
              MS. JOHNSTON: Oh, Your Honor, I'm sorry. Can you
     order him to remain on bail pending sentencing on these counts?
18
19
              THE COURT:
                          Oh, yeah.
20
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Sorry.
              THE COURT: Any objection?
21
              MR. KRISHNAMURTHY: No, Your Honor.
22
23
              THE COURT: All right. So ordered.
24
              MS. JOHNSTON:
                             Thank you.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
```

1	THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.
2	(Proceedings adjourned at 2:39 p.m.)
3	00
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
7	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
8	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
9	
10	DATE: Friday, February 7, 2020
11	
12	
13	$\sim \Omega$.
14	g andergen
15	Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR U.S. Court Reporter
16	0.5. Court Reporter
17	
18	una M. Bub
19	Ana M. Dub, CSR No. 7445, RDR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter
20	O.B. COULT REPOLECT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	